

Order Topology Orthosummability in Quantum Logics

Wu Junde,^{1,4} Zhou Su,² and Cho Minhyung³

Received

By using the Antosik–Mikusinski infinite matrix convergence theorem in quantum logics, we prove a theorem on orthosummability with respect to order topology in quantum logics.

KEY WORDS: quantum logics; effect algebras; order topologies; orthosummable.

1. EFFECT ALGEBRAS AND ORDER TOPOLOGIES

Let L be a set with two special elements $0, 1$, \perp be a subset of $L \times L$, if $(a, b) \in \perp$, write $a \perp b$, and let $\oplus : \perp \rightarrow L$ be a binary operation. If the following axioms hold:

- (i) Commutative Law: If $a, b \in L$ and $a \perp b$, then $b \perp a$ and $a \oplus b = b \oplus a$.
- (ii) Associative Law: If $a, b, c \in L$, $a \perp b$ and $(a \oplus b) \perp c$, then $b \perp c$, $a \perp (b \oplus c)$ and $(a \oplus b) \oplus c = a \oplus (b \oplus c)$.
- (iii) Orthocomplementation Law: For each $a \in L$ there exists a unique $b \in L$ such that $a \perp b$ and $a \oplus b = 1$.
- (iv) Zero-Unit Law: If $a \in L$ and $1 \perp a$, then $a = 0$.

Then the algebraic system $(L, \perp, \oplus, 0, 1)$ is said to be an *effect algebra*. This is important for modelling unsharp quantum logics (Foulis and Bennett, 1994).

Let $(L, \perp, \oplus, 0, 1)$ be an effect algebra. If $a, b \in L$ and $a \perp b$ we say that a and b are *orthogonal*. If $a \oplus b = 1$ we say that b is the *orthocomplement* of a , and we write $b = a'$. Clearly $1' = 0$, $(a'')' = a$, $a \perp 0$ and $a \oplus 0 = a$ for all $a \in L$. We say that $a \leq b$ if there exists $c \in L$ such that $a \perp c$ and $a \oplus c = b$. We may prove

¹Department of Mathematics, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, People's Republic of China.

²City College, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, People's Republic of China.

³Department of Applied Mathematics, Kumoh National Institute of Technology, Kyungbuk, Korea.

⁴To whom correspondence should be addressed at Department of Mathematics, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, People's Republic of China; e-mail: wjd@math.zju.edu.cn.

that \leq is a partial ordering on L and satisfies that $0 \leq a \leq 1, a \leq b \Leftrightarrow b' \leq a'$ and $a \leq b' \Leftrightarrow a \perp b$ for $a, b \in L$.

Let $\{a_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be a net of $(L, \perp, \oplus, 0, 1)$. Then we write $a_\alpha \uparrow$, when $\alpha \leq \beta, a_\alpha \leq a_\beta$. Moreover, if a is the supremum of $\{a_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$, i.e., $a = \vee \{a_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$, then we write $a_\alpha \uparrow a$.

Similarly, we may write $a_\alpha \downarrow$ and $a_\alpha \downarrow a$.

If $\{u_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}, \{v_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ are two nets of $(L, \perp, \oplus, 0, 1)$, for $u \uparrow u_\alpha \leq v_\alpha \downarrow v$ means that $u_\alpha \leq v_\alpha$ for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$ and $u_\alpha \uparrow u$ and $v_\alpha \downarrow v$. We write $b \leq u_\alpha \uparrow u$ if $b \leq u_\alpha$ for all $\alpha \in \Lambda$ and $u_\alpha \uparrow u$.

We say a net $\{a_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ of $(L, \perp, \oplus, 0, 1)$ is *order convergent* to a point a of L if there exists two nets $\{u_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ and $\{v_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ of $(L, \perp, \oplus, 0, 1)$ such that

$$a \uparrow u_\alpha \leq a_\alpha \leq v_\alpha \downarrow a.$$

Let $\mathcal{F} = \{F : F = \emptyset \text{ or } F \subseteq L \text{ and for each net } \{a_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \text{ of } F \text{ such that if } \{a_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \text{ is order convergent to } a, \text{ then } a \in F\}$.

It is easy to prove that the family \mathcal{F} of subsets of L defines a topology τ_0^L on $(L, \perp, \oplus, 0, 1)$ such that \mathcal{F} consists of all closed sets of this topology. The topology τ_0^L is called the *order topology* of $(L, \perp, \oplus, 0, 1)$ (Birkhoff, 1948).

If $a \leq b$, the element $c \in L$ such that $c \perp a$ and $a \oplus c = b$ is unique, and satisfies the condition $c = (a \oplus b)'$. It will be denoted by $c = b \ominus a$.

Let $F = \{a_i : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ be a finite subset of L . If $a_1 \perp a_2, (a_1 \oplus a_2) \perp a_3, \dots$ and $(a_1 \oplus a_2 \cdots \oplus a_{n-1}) \perp a_n$, we say that F is *orthogonal* and we define $\oplus F = a_1 \oplus a_2 \cdots \oplus a_n = (a_1 \oplus \dots \oplus a_{n-1}) \oplus a_n$ (by the commutative and associative laws, this sum does not depend on any permutation of elements). Now, if A is an arbitrary subset of L and $\mathcal{F}(A)$ is the family of all finite subsets of A , we say that A is *orthogonal* if F is orthogonal for every $F \in \mathcal{F}(A)$. If A is orthogonal, we define $\oplus A = \vee \{\oplus F : F \in \mathcal{F}(A)\}$, supposed that the supremum exists in (L, \leq) , and it is called the \oplus -sum of A .

If for all $a, b \in L, a \leq b$ or $b \leq a$, then $(L, \perp, \oplus, 0, 1)$ is said to be a *totally ordered effect algebra*; if for all $a, b \in L$, satisfies that $a < b$, there exists $c \in L$ such that $a < c < b$, then $(L, \perp, \oplus, 0, 1)$ is said to be *connect*.

An effect algebra is *complete*, if for each orthogonal subset A of L , the \oplus -sum $\oplus A$ exists; if for each countable orthogonal subset B of L , the \oplus -sum $\oplus B$ exists, then we say that the effect algebra is σ -complete.

2. ORDER TOPOLOGY ORTHOSUMMABILITY

As we know, orthosummability is an important topic in quantum logics (Habil, 1994; Schroder, 1999). In recent, Wu Junde, Lu Shijie, and Kim Dohan studied the \oplus -sum and proved a uniform \oplus -sum theorem (Junde *et al.*, 2003). In this paper, we introduce the order topology orthosummability of orthogonal sets in effect

algebra $(L, \perp, \oplus, 0, 1)$ and prove an order topology orthosummability theorem in $(L, \perp, \oplus, 0, 1)$.

Let $(L, \perp, \oplus, 0, 1)$ be a totally ordered effect algebra. We say that the sequence $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ of $(L, \perp, \oplus, 0, 1)$ is an order topology τ_0^L -Cauchy sequence, if for each $h \in L, 0 < h$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbf{N}$ such that when $n_0 \leq n, n_0 \leq m$, if $a_n \leq a_m$, then $a_m \ominus a_n < h$; if $a_m \leq a_n$, then $a_n \ominus a_m < h$ (Junde *et al.*, 2003).

Definition 1. Let A be an orthogonal subset of $(L, \perp, \oplus, 0, 1)$ and $\mathcal{F}(A)$ be the family of all finite subsets of A . It is clear that $\mathcal{F}(A)$ is a net if we define $F_1 \leq F_2$ iff $F_1 \subseteq F_2$. If the net $\{\oplus F : F \in \mathcal{F}(A)\}$ is order topology τ_0^L convergent to $a \in L$, then we say that A is order topology τ_0^L -orthosummable and a is the order topology τ_0^L -summation of A .

Lemma 1. (Junde *et al.*, 2003). *Let $(L, \perp, \oplus, 0, 1)$ be a σ -complete totally ordered connect effect algebra. Then for each $h \in L, 0 < h$, there exists an orthogonal \oplus -summable sequence $\{h_i\}$ of L such that $\bigvee_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \{\bigoplus_{i=1}^n h_i\} < h$.*

Furthermore, we can prove the following lemma, it is very important in this paper:

Lemma 2. *Let $(L, \perp, \oplus, 0, 1)$ be a totally order effect algebra, $h = h_1 \oplus h_2, g = g_1 \oplus g_2$. If $\max\{h, g\} \ominus \min\{h, g\} > \max\{h_1, g_1\} \ominus \min\{h_1, g_1\}$, then $\max\{h_2, g_2\} \ominus \min\{h_2, g_2\} > (\max\{h, g\} \ominus \min\{h, g\}) \ominus (\max\{h_1, g_1\} \ominus \min\{h_1, g_1\})$.*

3. MAIN THEOREM AND ITS PROOF

Now, by using the methods of (Mazario, 2001) and (Aizpuru and Gutierrez-Davila, 2003) and the Antosik-Mikusinski theorem in quantum logics (Junde *et al.*, 2003), we prove the following order topology τ_0^L -orthosummability theorem:

Theorem 1. *Let $(L, \perp, \oplus, 0, 1)$ be a σ -complete totally ordered connect effect algebra, for each $i \in \mathbf{N}$, the orthogonal set $\{a_{i,\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ of L be order topology τ_0^L orthosummable, for each finite subset F of Λ , the sequence $\{\bigoplus_{\alpha \in F} a_{i,\alpha}\}_{i \in \mathbf{N}}$ be order topology τ_0^L convergent, for each pairwise disjoint finite subset sequence $\{E_j\}$ of Λ and each infinite subset D of \mathbf{N} , there exist a countable subset B of Λ and an infinite subset M of D such that $E_j \subseteq B$ if $j \in M$ and $E_j \cap B = \emptyset$ if $j \in \mathbf{N} \setminus M$, and $\{\bigoplus_{\alpha \in B} a_{i,\alpha}\}_{i \in \mathbf{N}}$ be an order topology τ_0^L -Cauchy sequence. Then the orthogonal family $\{a_{i,\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ of L is order topology τ_0^L uniformly orthosummable with respect to $i \in \mathbf{N}$.*

Proof: We only need to prove that the nets $\{\bigoplus_{\alpha \in F} a_{i,\alpha}\}_{F \in \mathcal{F}(\Lambda)}$ are order topology τ_0^L uniformly Cauchy with respect to $i \in \mathbf{N}$. If not, pick a $h \in L$ such that for each $F_0 \in \mathcal{F}(\Lambda)$ there exist $F'_0, F''_0 \in \mathcal{F}(\Lambda)$ and $i_0 \in \mathbf{N}$ satisfy $F_0 \subseteq F'_0 \subseteq F''_0$, and

$\bigoplus_{\alpha \in F_0' \setminus F_0} a_{i_0, \alpha} \geq h$. This shows that for each $F_0 \in \mathcal{F}(\Lambda)$ there exist $F_1 \in \mathcal{F}(\Lambda \setminus F_0)$ and $i_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in F_1} a_{i_0, \alpha} \geq h$. That is,

$$\{\bigoplus_{\alpha \in F} a_{i_0, \alpha} : F \in \mathcal{F}(\Lambda \setminus F_0)\} \not\subseteq [0, h). \tag{1}$$

We show that (1) will hold for infinite many numbers $i \in \mathbb{N}$. If $\{\bigoplus_{\alpha \in F} a_{i, \alpha} : F \in \mathcal{F}(\Lambda \setminus F_0)\} \not\subseteq [0, h)$ only for i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k , note that for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $\{a_{i, \alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ is order topology τ_0^L -orthosummable, so it follows easily that there exist $F_1, \dots, F_k \in \mathcal{F}(\Lambda)$ such that

$$\{\bigoplus_{\alpha \in F} a_{i_j, \alpha} : F \in \mathcal{F}(\Lambda \setminus F_j)\} \subseteq [0, h), j = 1, \dots, k.$$

Let $H = F_0 \cup F_1 \cup F_2 \cup \dots \cup F_k$. We have

$$\{\bigoplus_{\alpha \in F} a_{i, \alpha} : F \in \mathcal{F}(\Lambda \setminus H)\} \subseteq [0, h), i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

This contradicts (1) and so the conclusion holds. □

This shows that for each $F_0 \in \mathcal{F}(\Lambda)$ and each $i_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $F \in \mathcal{F}(\Lambda \setminus F_0)$ and $i > i_0$ such that $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in F} a_{i, \alpha} \geq h$.

Thus, we can obtain a sequence of $\{F_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of pairwise disjoint finite subsets of Λ and an increasing sequence $\{i_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of positive integers such that

$$\bigoplus_{\alpha \in F_k} a_{i_k, \alpha} \geq h. \tag{2}$$

Let $b_{nk} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in F_k} a_{i_n, \alpha}$. Then by the hypothesis of Theorem 1 that b_{nk} satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\{b_{nk}\}$ is an orthogonal sequence of L , and $\{b_{nk}\}$ is \oplus -summable by the σ -completeness of $(L, \perp, \oplus, 0, 1)$.
- (ii) For each finite subset \mathbb{N}_0 of \mathbb{N} , the sequence $\{\bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0} b_{nk}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is order topology τ_0^L convergent.
- (iii) For each pairwise disjoint finite subsets sequence $\{B_j\}$ of \mathbb{N} and each infinite subset E of \mathbb{N} , there exist a infinite subset G of E and an infinite subset Q of \mathbb{N} such that $B_j \subseteq Q$ if $j \in G$ and $B_j \cap Q = \emptyset$ if $j \in \mathbb{N} \setminus G$, and $\{\bigoplus_{k \in Q} b_{nk}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an order topology τ_0^L -Cauchy sequence.

Now, we prove that for each $P \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, the sequence $\{\bigoplus_{k \in P} b_{nk}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is order topology τ_0^L Cauchy.

In fact, if not, we can find a $h_1 \in L$ such that for each $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $m, n > n_0$ such that if $\bigoplus_{k \in P} b_{mk} \geq \bigoplus_{k \in P} b_{nk}$, then $\bigoplus_{k \in P} b_{mk} \ominus (\bigoplus_{k \in P} b_{nk}) \geq h_1$, if $\bigoplus_{k \in P} b_{nk} \geq \bigoplus_{k \in P} b_{mk}$, then $\bigoplus_{k \in P} b_{nk} \ominus (\bigoplus_{k \in P} b_{mk}) \geq h_1$. It follows from Lemma 1 that there exist three orthogonal elements h_2, h_3, h_4 such that $h_2 \oplus h_3 \oplus h_4 < h_1, h_3 \oplus h_4 < h_2$.

Let $n_0 = 1, m_1, n_1 > n_0$ and when $\bigoplus_{k \in P} b_{m_1 k} \geq \bigoplus_{k \in P} b_{n_1 k}, \bigoplus_{k \in P} b_{m_1 k} \ominus (\bigoplus_{k \in P} b_{n_1 k}) \geq h_1$; when $\bigoplus_{k \in P} b_{n_1 k} \geq \bigoplus_{k \in P} b_{m_1 k}, \bigoplus_{k \in P} b_{n_1 k} \ominus (\bigoplus_{k \in P} b_{m_1 k}) \geq h_1$.

It follows from (i) that there exists a $p_1 \in \mathbf{N}$ such that for each $H \subseteq \{p_1 + 1, \dots\}$,

$$\bigoplus_{k \in H} b_{m_1 k} \ominus (\bigoplus_{k \in H} b_{n_1 k}) \leq h_4,$$

or

$$\bigoplus_{k \in H} b_{n_1 k} \ominus (\bigoplus_{k \in H} b_{m_1 k}) \leq h_4.$$

Thus, it follows from Lemma 2 that

$$\bigoplus_{k \in P \cap \{1, 2, \dots, p_1\}} b_{m_1 k} \ominus (\bigoplus_{k \in P \cap \{1, 2, \dots, p_1\}} b_{n_1 k}) \geq h_2 \oplus h_3,$$

or

$$\bigoplus_{k \in P \cap \{1, 2, \dots, p_1\}} b_{n_1 k} \ominus (\bigoplus_{k \in P \cap \{1, 2, \dots, p_1\}} b_{m_1 k}) \geq h_2 \oplus h_3.$$

Note that (ii), there exists $l_1 > m_1, l_1 > n_1$ such that when $m, n > l_1$ and $C \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, p_1\}$,

$$\bigoplus_{k \in C} b_{m k} \ominus (\bigoplus_{k \in C} b_{n k}) \leq h_3,$$

or

$$\bigoplus_{k \in C} b_{n k} \ominus (\bigoplus_{k \in C} b_{m k}) \leq h_3.$$

Let $n_0 > l_1$ and $m_2, n_2 > n_0$ such that $\bigoplus_{k \in P} b_{m_2 k} \ominus (\bigoplus_{k \in P} b_{n_2 k}) \geq h_1$ or $\bigoplus_{k \in P} b_{n_2 k} \ominus (\bigoplus_{k \in P} b_{m_2 k}) \geq h_1$.

It follows from (i) again that we can pick a $p_2 \in \mathbf{N}, p_2 > p_1$ such that for each $H \subseteq \{p_2 + 1, \dots\}$,

$$\bigoplus_{k \in H} b_{m_2 k} \ominus (\bigoplus_{k \in H} b_{n_2 k}) \leq h_4$$

or

$$\bigoplus_{k \in H} b_{n_2 k} \ominus (\bigoplus_{k \in H} b_{m_2 k}) \leq h_4.$$

Thus, it follows from Lemma 2 that

$$\bigoplus_{k \in P \cap \{p_1, \dots, p_2\}} b_{m_2 k} \ominus (\bigoplus_{k \in P \cap \{p_1, \dots, p_2\}} b_{n_2 k}) \geq h_2,$$

or

$$\bigoplus_{k \in P \cap \{p_1, \dots, p_2\}} b_{n_2 k} \ominus (\bigoplus_{k \in P \cap \{p_1, \dots, p_2\}} b_{m_2 k}) \geq h_2.$$

Inductively, we may obtain three increasing sequences $\{n_i\}, \{m_i\}$, and $\{p_i\}$ of \mathbf{N} such that

(iv) When $i > 1$ and $C \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, p_{i-1}\}$,

$$\bigoplus_{k \in C} b_{m_i k} \ominus (\bigoplus_{k \in C} b_{n_i k}) \leq h_3,$$

or

$$\bigoplus_{k \in C} b_{n_i k} \ominus (\bigoplus_{k \in C} b_{m_i k}) \leq h_3.$$

(v) If $E_i = P \cap \{p_{i-1} + 1, \dots, p_i\}$ and $i > 1$, then

$$\bigoplus_{k \in E_i} b_{m_i k} \ominus (\bigoplus_{k \in E_i} b_{n_i k}) \geq h_2,$$

or

$$\bigoplus_{k \in E_i} b_{n_i k} \ominus (\bigoplus_{k \in E_i} b_{m_i k}) \geq h_2.$$

(vi) For each $H \subseteq \{p_i + 1, \dots, \}$,

$$\bigoplus_{k \in H} b_{m_i k} \ominus (\bigoplus_{k \in H} b_{n_i k}) \leq h_4,$$

or

$$\bigoplus_{k \in H} b_{n_i k} \ominus (\bigoplus_{k \in H} b_{m_i k}) \leq h_4.$$

Thus, we can find a $Q \subseteq \mathbf{N}$ and an infinite subset G of \mathbf{N} such that $E_i \subseteq Q$ if $i \in G$ and $E_i \cap Q = \emptyset$ if $i \in \mathbf{N} \setminus G$, and $\{\bigoplus_{k \in Q} b_{nk}\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ is an order topology τ_0^L -Cauchy sequence.

On the other hand, it follows from (iv), (v), and (vi) and Lemma 2 that for $i \in G, i > 1$, we have

$\bigoplus_{k \in Q} b_{m_i k} \ominus (\bigoplus_{k \in Q} b_{n_i k}) \geq h_2 \ominus h_3 \ominus h_4$ or $\bigoplus_{k \in Q} b_{n_i k} \ominus (\bigoplus_{k \in Q} b_{m_i k}) \geq h_2 \ominus h_3 \ominus h_4$. This contradicts $\{\bigoplus_{k \in Q} b_{nk}\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ is an order topology τ_0^L -Cauchy sequence and so this conclusion is true.

Thus, the Antosik–Mikusinski theorem (Junde *et al.*, 2003) shows that $\{b_{ii}\}$ is order topology τ_0^L convergent to 0. This contradicts (2) and so the theorem is proved.

The following important conclusion can be obtained from Theorem 1 immediately:

Theorem 2. *Let $(L, \perp, \oplus, 0, 1)$ be a complete totally ordered connect effect algebra, for each $i \in \mathbf{N}$, $\{a_{i\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be an orthogonal set of L . If for each subset Δ of Λ , the \oplus -sum sequence $\{\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta} a_{i\alpha}\}_{i \in \mathbf{N}}$ is order topology τ_0^L convergent, then $\{a_{i\alpha}\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ are uniformly \oplus -summable with respect to $i \in \mathbf{N}$.*

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This Project was supported by Research Fund of Kumoh National Institute of Technology and is supported by Natural Science Fund of Zhejiang Province of China in 2004 (M103057).

REFERENCES

- Aizpuru, A. and Gutierrez-Davila, A. (2003). Unconditionally Cauchy series and uniform convergence on matrices. *Chinese Annals of Mathematics Series B* **25**(3), 335–346.
- Birkhoff, G. (1948). *Lattice Theory*, A.M.S. Colloquium, New York.

- Foulis, D. J. and Bennett, M. K. (1994). Effect Algebras and unsharp quantum logics. *Foundations of Physics* **24**, 1331–1352.
- Habil, E. D. (1994). Orthosummable orthoalgebras. *International Journal of Theoretical Physics* **33**, 1957–1983.
- Junde, Wu, Shijie, Lu, and Dohan, Kim (2003). Antosik–Mikusinski matrix convergence theorem in quantum logics. *International Journal of Theoretical Physics* **42**, 1905–1911.
- Mazario, F. G. (2001). Convergence theorems for topological group valued measures on effect algebras. *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society* **64**, 213–231.
- Schroder, B. S. W. (1999). On three notions of orthosummability in orthoalgebras. *International Journal of Theoretical Physics* **38**, 3305–3313.